UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/723,766	11/26/2003	Klaus Klingenbeck-Regn	P03,0471	9678
26574 SCHIFF HARI	7590 10/09/2007 DIN, LLP		EXAMINER	
PATENT DEPARTMENT			LAMPRECHT, JOEL	
6600 SEARS T CHICAGO, IL	<del>+</del> ··· <del>-</del>		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3737	
	•		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
•			10/09/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

·	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
•	10/723,766	KLINGENBECK-REGN ET AL	L.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Joel M. Lamprecht	3737	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC.  1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reput of will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT ate, cause the application to become ABA	ATION.  lly be timely filed  HS from the mailing date of this communication.  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			1
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09</u> 2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) Th 3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matte	· •	
Disposition of Claims			
4)  Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and are subject.	awn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according an applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the sheet of the shee	ecepted or b) objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyand ection is required if the drawing(s	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d)	).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bure.  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Ap fority documents have been r au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)	mmary (PTO-413) Mail Date ormal Patent Application	

Application/Control Number: 10/723,766

Art Unit: 3737

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Page 2

## Response to Amendment

The Examiner acknowledges receipt of Applicant's amendments and remarks regarding the original rejection. The Examiner also withdraws the statements made about convention priority. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-18 have been considered but are moot based on new grounds of rejection. The Examiner would first mention that Aldefeld et al. do acknowledge in paragraph 23 that an X-ray system is not required, but should be available and could potentially be utilized should the procedure require its use (paragraph 38). They do not; however, concern themselves with applying X-ray monitoring as the primary or even preferred form of monitoring, rather they teach away from that method and only rely on it intraoperatively in emergency cases.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 1. Claims 1-4, 17 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Aldefeld et al. (US 6,813,512) in view of Pitris et al (US 6,564,087 B1). Aldefield discloses all that is listed above substantially a medical examination system comprising a device for taking a scout image including an x-ray [0026], a

Art Unit: 3737

processing device for generating a scout image [0037-0039], a catheter system associated with OCT image acquisition [0029], the capacity to display the x-ray and OCT images together (superimposed) [0037-0039], whereby the system can integrate and generate both x-ray and OCT images [0027-0035 and 0023] [0018], the catheter containing a magnetic field-generating element in its tip [0030], and generates an external magnetic field surrounding the catheter [0021], along with controls for the magnetic field and external magnetic field [0018-0021], a permanent magnet in the catheter tip [0030], generating a magnetic field outward from the catheter itself and in tandem with another external electromagnet [0030].

2. As acknowledged by Examiner, Aldefeld et al do mention that X-ray systems can be used in emergency cases, but they do not specify the image processing, or motion monitoring via X-ray as an intraoperative method. Attention is then paid to Pitris et al, which discloses a visualization module and X-ray-based monitoring system in conjunction with an OCT catheter configured for introduction into the body. Pitris et al also disclose a system, which can intraoperatively image and monitor, on a single device, the OCT and x-ray image for guiding the procedure. The system of Pitris et al also mentions combining or simultaneously displaying the OCT and other imaging modalities (including X-rays) for real-time guidance of the probe (Column 18 Line 50 – Column 19 Line 40). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have included the intraoperative X-ray and imaging methods of Pitris with the OCT system of Aldefeld et al. in non-emergency cases for the purpose of guiding the interventional procedure.

Application/Control Number: 10/723,766

Art Unit: 3737

A reference cited sheet for the Pitris et al reference is not enclosed due to the fact that Pitris et al reference was listed in the previous office action as pertinent prior art.

Page 4

- 3. Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Aldefeld et al. (US 6,813,512) in view of Hastings et al. (US 20020103430 A1) and in further view of Pitris et al (US 6,564,087 B1).
- Aldefield or Pitris do not disclose a magnetic field generator to interact with the catheter to move the catheter within the examination region, supplying multiple magnets on the catheter for generating orthogonal representations of the area to be imaged, or controls for multiple electromagnets on the catheter itself. Attention is then directed to the secondary reference by Hastings et al. which teaches the implementation of a three electromagnet design which substantially produces two orthogonal fields, one along the longitudinal axis and one across the catheter itself [0037-0039, 0042]. The catheter taught is capable of being moved and navigated by use of an external magnetic field and contains controls for adjusting the properties of each electromagnet in the catheter [0042-0044] [0036]. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to have included the multi electromagnet system and translocation system taught by Hastings et al. in the medical examination system of Aldefield to allow for automatic placement of the catheter imaging system to ensure the best possible images are obtained.

## Conclusion

Art Unit: 3737

5. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joel M. Lamprecht whose telephone number is (571) 272-3250. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 7:30AM-4PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian L. Casler can be reached on (571)272-4956. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/723,766

Art Unit: 3737

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

JML 9/29/07

BRIAN L. CASLER
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CONTENTS